APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

Defence Research and Recherche et développement Development Canada pour la défense Canada

Meeting Operational Demand

Determining Output for the Royal Canadian Navy

Presented at the 10th Annual NATO OR&A Conference Norfolk, Virginia 17-18 October 2016

Dr. Van Fong Mr. Jean-Denis Caron

Maritime Operational Research Team DRDC CORA







NOTICE (U)

(U) This document has been reviewed and DOES NOT CONTAIN controlled goods.

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

Objective

Provide evidence and insight to the Royal Canadian Navy into fleet size and how it affects the operational output of the fleet and the ability to meet expected demand



Methodology

DEMAND SUPPLY

- Vignette-based approach
- Covers full scale of operations
- Frequency of occurrence
- Duration of event
- Expected Response (number of ships)

- Steady-state approach
- Total number of ships in Fleet
- Operational Cycle (OPCYCLE)



Operational Demand

11 notional vignettes for illustrative purposes

ID	Description	Туре	Frequency of Occurrence	Duration	Time of Year	Co-Occur	Expected Response (Number of Ships)
1	Single ship - Short deployment	Random	3 / 2 years	1-4 months	All year	Yes	1
2	Single ship - Medium deployment	Random	2 / 3 years	6-8 months	All year	Yes	1
3	Single ship - Long deployment	Random	1 / 3 years	$2 \ge 6$ months	All year	No	1
4	Two ships - Medium Deployment	Random	1 / year	4-6 months	All year	No	2
5	Task Group - Large Scale Commitment	Random	1 / 6 years	$3 \ge 6$ months	All year	No	3
6	Standing NATO Maritime Group 1	Scheduled	2 / 3 years	8 months	Feb to Nov	N/A	1
7	Flagship for Standing NATO Maritime Group 1	Scheduled	1 / 3 years	8 months	Feb to Nov	N/A	1
8	Spring / Fall scheduled operations	Scheduled	2 / years	1 month	May and Sep	N/A	1
9	Deployment w/ related exercises	Scheduled	1 year	5 months	Apr to Sep	N/A	2



Operational Demand





Operational Supply

• For illustration, we use notional data for a generic ship:



OPCYCLE

Example: For a 20 ship fleet, the idealized fleet schedule will always achieve 4 HR ships for any given point in time.



Operational Supply

		Months at HR / OPCYCLE												
		9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
	30	4 .50	5. 00	5. 50	<mark>6.0</mark> 0	6.50	7.00	7.50	8.00	8.50	9.00	9.50	10.00	10.50
Ļ	29	<mark>4</mark> .35	4. 83	5. 32	<mark>5.8</mark> 0	<mark>6.2</mark> 8	6.77	7.25	7.73	8.22	8.70	9.18	9.67	10.15
ee	28	<mark>4</mark> .20	4 .67	5. 13	<mark>5.</mark> 60	6.07	6.5 3	7.00	7.47	7.93	8.40	8.87	9.33	9.80
Ē	27	<mark>4</mark> .05	<mark>4</mark> .50	<mark>4.</mark> 95	<mark>5.</mark> 40	<mark>5.8</mark> 5	<mark>6.3</mark> 0	6.75	7.20	7.65	8.10	8.55	9.00	9.45
s I.	26	<mark>3</mark> .90	<mark>4</mark> .33	<mark>4</mark> .77	5. 20	5. 63	6.07	6.50	6.93	7.37	7.80	8.23	8.67	9.10
ip	25	<mark>3</mark> .75	<mark>4</mark> .17	<mark>4</mark> .58	<mark>5.</mark> 00	5.42	5.8 3	6.2 5	6.67	7.0 8	7.50	7.92	8.33	8.75
S	24	<mark>3</mark> .60	4 .00	4 .40	<mark>4.</mark> 80	5. 20	5. 60	<mark>6.0</mark> 0	6.4 0	<mark>6.8</mark> 0	7.20	7.60	8.00	8.40
of	23	<mark>3</mark> .45	<mark>3</mark> .83	<mark>4</mark> .22	<mark>4</mark> .60	<mark>4.</mark> 98	<mark>5.</mark> 37	5.7 5	6. 13	<mark>6.5</mark> 2	6.90	7.2 8	7.67	8.05
Jer	22	<mark>3</mark> .30	<mark>3</mark> .67	4 .03	4 .40	4 .77	5. 13	5. 50	5. 87	6.23	6.60	6.97	7.33	7.70
шţ	21	<mark>3</mark> .15	<mark>3</mark> .50	<mark>3</mark> .85	<mark>4</mark> .20	4 .55	4. 90	5. 25	5. 60	<mark>5.9</mark> 5	6.30	6.6 5	7.00	7.35
Nu	20	<mark>3</mark> .00	3 .33	<mark>3</mark> .67	4 .00	4 .33	<mark>4</mark> .67	5. 00	5. 33	5. 67	6.00	<mark>6.3</mark> 3	6.67	7.0 0
	19	2.85	3 .17	3 .48	<mark>3</mark> .80	4 .12	4 .43	4 .75	<mark>5.</mark> 07	<mark>5.</mark> 38	5.70	6.02	<mark>6.3</mark> 3	<mark>6.6</mark> 5
	18	2.70	3.00	<mark>3</mark> .30	<mark>3</mark> .60	<mark>3</mark> .90	<mark>4</mark> .20	<mark>4</mark> .50	4.80	5. 10	5. 40	5.7 0	6.00	<mark>6.3</mark> 0



Assumptions and Limitations

- Steady-state ship supply
 - Does not consider individual ship schedules no deconfliction
- Ship attrition is not considered
- Coastal disposition
- Only considers a single class fleet of ships





Matching Supply to Demand

			Months at HR / OPCYCLE											
		9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
	30	69.8%	76.6%	81.8%	87.0%	90.2%	93.5%	95.2%	96.9%	97.8%	98.8%	99.2%	99.6%	99.8%
ц.	29	67.8%	74.4%	79.9%	84.9%	88.8%	92.0%	94.3%	96.0%	97.3%	98.2%	98.9%	99.3%	99.7%
ee	28	65.7%	72.1%	78.0%	82.9%	87.4%	90.4%	93.5%	95.1%	96.7%	97.6%	98.5%	99.1%	99.5%
E	27	63.7%	69.8%	76.0%	80.8%	85.4%	88.9%	91.8%	94.2%	95.7%	97.1%	97.9%	98.8%	99.2%
s ir	26	61.3%	67.6%	73.5%	78.7%	83.2%	87.4%	90.2%	93.0%	94.7%	96.2%	97.3%	98.1%	98.8%
ip	25	58.8%	65.3%	71.0%	76.6%	81.0%	85.3%	88.6%	91.3%	93.8%	95.2%	96.6%	97.5%	98.3%
Ş	24	56.3%	63.0%	68.5%	73.9%	78.7%	82.9%	87.0%	89.6%	92.2%	94.2%	95.5%	96.9%	97.6%
of	23	53.8%	60.2%	66.0%	71.2%	76.4%	80.4%	84.4%	87.9%	90.3%	92.8%	94.4%	95.7%	97.0%
ber	22	51.3%	57.4%	63.5%	68.5%	73.5%	78.0%	81.8%	85.6%	88.5%	90.9%	93.3%	94.6%	95.9%
h	21	48.8%	54.7%	60.5%	65.7%	70.5%	75.3%	79.2%	82.9%	86.5%	88.9%	91.2%	93.5%	94.7%
Nu	20	46.3%	51.9%	57.4%	63.0%	67.6%	72.1%	76.6%	80.1%	83.5%	87.0%	89.1%	91.3%	93.5%
	19	43.8%	49.1%	54.4%	59.7%	64.6%	68.9%	73.2%	77.3%	80.6%	83.9%	87.1%	89.1%	91.2%
	18	41.2%	46.3%	51.3%	56.3%	61.3%	65.7%	69.8%	73.9%	77.7%	80.8%	83.9%	87.0%	88.9%



Characterizing Multi-Ship Demand

Single ship Short deployment [1]	Single ship Medium deployment [1]	Single ship Long deployment [1]	Two ships Medium Deployment [2]	Task Group Large Scale Commitment [3]	Standing NATO Maritime Group 1 [1]	Flagship for Standing NATO Maritime Group 1 [1]	Spring / Fall scheduled operations [1]	Deployment w/ related exercises [2]	Percentage of time
					1		1	1	1.68%
	1				1			1	1.61%
		1			1			1	1.36%
1					1			1	1.19%
						1	1	1	0.88%
	1					1		1	0.79%
		1				1		1	0.72%
	1			1					0.66%
				1	1				0.64%
1						1		1	0.62%

Top 10 combinations requiring 4 simultaneous HR ships



Characterizing Multi-Ship Demand

Single ship Short deployment [1]	Single ship Medium deployment [1]	Single ship Long deployment [1]	Two ships Medium Deployment [2]	Task Group Large Scale Commitment [3]	Standing NATO Maritime Group 1 [1]	Flagship for Standing NATO Maritime Group 1 [1]	Spring / Fall scheduled operations [1]	Deployment w/ related exercises [2]	Percentage of time
			1		1			1	1.84%
			1			1		1	0.90%
			1	1					0.74%
	1				1		1	1	0.64%
		1			1		1	1	0.56%
1					1		1	1	0.52%
	1	1			1			1	0.51%
1	1				1			1	0.45%
1		1			1			1	0.42%
	1					1	1	1	0.34%

Top 10 combinations requiring 5 simultaneous HR ships



Conclusion and Future Work

- A methodology was developed to provide quick insight into fleet sizing and operational output.
 - Vignette-based Demand Analysis
 - Approach is consistent with DND Force Development process
 - Steady-state ship supply based on two main variables:
 - Number of ships in fleet
 - Number of months at HR / OPCYCLE
- Future work:
 - Expand methodology to consider multiple ship classes
 - Demand model further define and refine vignettes
 - Supply model introduce concept of a mixed Task Group





Defence Research and Development Canada Recherche et développement pour la défense Canada

DRDC | RDDC

SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY AND KNOWLEDGE FOR CANADA'S DEFENCE AND SECURITY SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGIE ET SAVOIR POUR LA DÉFENSE ET LA SÉCURITÉ DU CANADA



